

**UTTLESFORD DISTRICT COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE
SUPPLEMENTARY LIST OF REPRESENTATIONS**

12 JANUARY 2011

SCHEDULE ITEMS

P.1 UTT/2067/10/FUL - FELSTED - Church of Holy Cross Station Road

REPRESENTATIONS: 4 further letter have been received.. See attachments end of this Supplementary of Representations.

P.12 UTT/1709/10/FUL - THAXTED - Station Works, Elsenham Road,

REPRESENTATIONS: One further letter has been received. See attachment end of this Supplementary of Representations.

From: kitty drury [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 January 2011 08:31
To: planning
Subject: UTT/2067/10/FUL

UTT/2067/10/FUL = CHURCH OF THE HOLY CROSS FELSTED

I am writing in support of the above application. Our village and its environs are growing. Our church congregation is also thriving and we need extra space/facilities for the activities in which the church is involved. The church will be able to offer the village community the use of the extra space as well.

From the plans the new building will sit very well in the churchyard.

Kitty Drury

From: JOHN DRURY [mailto:john.drury@btinternet.com]

Sent: 10 January 2011 08:45

To: planning

Subject: UTT/2067/10/FUL

**PLANNING APPLICATION UTT/2067/10/FUL - CHURCH OF THE HOLY CROSS
FELSTED**

With regard to the above I writing to confirm I am in support of the planning application made by The Holy Cross Church, Felsted.

Felsted is a thriving community and the extra space and facilities can not only benefit the church but also the village.

The proposed building does not detract from the beauty of the centre of the village.

John Drury

Felsted Playing Field Group

(includes Felsted Action for Children's Equipment)



Felsted Playing Field Group

Tidings, Mill Road, Felsted. CM6 3HQ

By Email to: Uttlesford Council at planning@uttlesford.gov.uk

9th January, 2011

FAO Head of Planning

Your reference (U) 2067/10/FUL

Dear Sir or Madam

I would like to write in support of the proposed Parish rooms for Felsted church, for which planning permission is being sought this month. The reference is above.

We are a local organisation which is been responsible for the fundraising and implementation of the facilities on the field in Felsted between the Memorial Hall and Jollyboys lane. We continue to look after the existing facilities and have plans to develop the usage both of the existing facilities and to provide further facilities over the coming months and years. We continue to fundraise, and continue to liaise with the local community regarding the Felsted Playing Field.

On many occasions we need to meet for committee meetings, and from time to time need to engage the wider community in our plans and fundraising activities. In the past we have met in people's homes, or in the Memorial hall, but the use of the Memorial Hall by FKS during the day and for social events and activities during the evenings and weekends means that this is not always available for us to use. The planned parish rooms, central to the village, adjacent to the car park could provide the Felsted Playing Field group with the ideal location for both small meetings and wider community consultation. In addition, I believe that these new rooms will enhance the appearance of the old building and increase its use by the community. I strongly urge your support of this application.

Best regards,

Lucy Beaufrere

Secretary, Felsted Playing Field Group

Tel: [REDACTED]

RECEIVED

11 JAN 2011

UTT: URDDC

UTT/2067/10/FUL.

SCANNED

Catterlen
Braintree Road
Felsted
Dunmow
Essex
CM6 3DY

9th January 11.

Dear Sirs,

As members of Holy Cross Church ~~we~~^{we} wish to make our community an inclusive, friendly, caring place to live and meet. We are very pushed for space to accommodate youth and school age groups, toddlers and creche not to mention adult meetings and activities. Additional space is vital if we are to progress in this project, as are the necessary facilities. Please allow us to continue.

Yours faithfully.

Evelyn and ^{Hannie} Woodle

From: Jane Orsborn [mailto:j.orsborn@btconnect.com]
Sent: 05 January 2011 17:01
To: Karen Hollitt
Cc: James Fenwick; 'Harry Hoskyns-Abrahall'
Subject: UTT/1709/10

Hello Karen

We are pleased to see that the report on the proposed AD plant at Station Works has made next week's agenda; thank you for your assistance with that.

Having now read the report, my clients have asked me to bring a couple of matters to your attention.

Under the heading Description of Proposal on pp2. the figures given for the dimensions of the silage clamp are not quite correct. The clamp will have concrete grain walling to a height of 4m (not 4.4.4m to 5m as stated). Also the size of each of the 3 areas are slightly different (see P10-THXB-003), as set out below:-

Area 1 is 108m (not 106m) x 35m

Area 2 is 42m (not 40m) x 30m and

Area 3 is 38m (not 37m) x 30m

On pp10 at Item 3 of Planning Considerations which commences with PPS22, the 2nd paragraph seems rather confused. There is a sentence which states "It noted that traffic (HGVs) which are to bring the maize to the application site(s) from other lands outside Armigers Farm already do so".

This is not quite what our Transport Statement says. It makes the point at para 2.2 on pp1 that "*It is important to note that these movements are not new to the farm or local network, as they will be replacing current agricultural arrangements whereby crops from the estate are all brought back to the farm for drying/storage and then onward distribution by HGV*".

However, the existing movements bringing crops back for drying/storage are by tractor trailer, not HGV as is implied in the report. Hence a later sentence that "The report also noted that such activity would utilize tractors with a 15 tonne payload instead of HGVs" is rather misleading. In terms of crops, the only current involvement with HGVs is when the crop is sold and taken away from the farm. It is these HGV movements which will cease because the crop will be used exclusively in the AD plant. Other HGV movements which will be significantly reduced will be those currently bringing in artificial chemical fertilizers.

I apologise for being pedantic but traffic movements appears to be one of the concerns raised by third parties so we are anxious that members are given an accurate picture.

Best wishes

Jane Orsborn